UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,688	05/26/2006	Ryosuke Tsuyuki	MTM-0223	4368
23353 7590 04/15/2009 RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC LION BUILDING			EXAMINER	
			PETTITT, JOHN F	
1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3744	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/580,688	TSUYUKI, RYOSUKE	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John F. Pettitt	3744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 c This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowatelessed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	oate	

Application/Control Number: 10/580,688 Page 2

Art Unit: 3744

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bartlett et al. (US 5,375,424) hereafter Bartlett.

In regard to claims 1, 6, and 10-13, Bartlett teaches a water regeneration method for discharging ice (column 5, lines 64) condensed in a portion (62 or 70 or space near 62 or 70) cooled by a cryogenic refrigerator (40, 44) installed in a case (20) to an outside of the case (exterior to 20), comprising: a temperature increasing step for melting the ice into water at approximately atmospheric pressure (heater 69 on or purge gas admitted - column 5, lines 5-7, 25-27); a vaporizing step for vaporizing water by performing a plurality of first roughing steps between the approximate atmospheric pressure and a first reduced pressure being less than the atmospheric pressure but higher than and yet close to a water-freezing pressure that causes the water to freeze (interpreted as performing an evacuation where gases are being evacuated and where the pressure of the space being evacuated is lower than atmospheric and higher than a first pressure, which first pressure is relatively close to the pressure water will freeze; rough pumping through valve 84; column 5, line 40-45; as the pressure drops from atmospheric to a low pressure near 1000 microns (133 Pa) - a plurality of times column 5, lines 25-35, there will be a plurality of evacuations at a pressure that is both

below atmospheric and above a pressure at which freezing can occur - column 5, lines 66-67; for example there will be a plurality of evacuations at pressures between near atmospheric and 200 Pa [for example 600, 540, 423, and so forth]; for clarity throughout this action the first pressure is 100 Pa); a water discharge step for discharging water by performing a plurality of second roughing steps between a second reduced pressure and the first reduced pressure, the second reduced pressure being less than the atmospheric pressure and greater than the first reduced pressure (as the pressure drops from atmospheric to a low pressure near 1000 microns (133 Pa) a plurality of times - column 5, lines 25-35, there will be a second plurality of evacuations below a pressure that is below atmospheric pressure and above the first pressure - column 5, lines 66-67; for example there will be a plurality of evacuations at pressures between 200 Pa and a pressure near 1000 microns (133 Pa); for clarity throughout this action the second pressure is 200 Pa); and a water vapor discharging step for discharging water vapor at a third reduced pressure being less than the first reduced pressure (pumping down below 1000 microns - step 114, column 6, lines 15-25; any of the pressures reached under 1000 microns).

In regard to claim 2, Bartlett teaches that each of the vaporizing step and the discharging step includes buildup determination (pressure detection determines a buildup of gases built up in system; column 5, lines 45-52).

In regard to claim 3, Bartlett teaches that the temperature increasing step (heater on or purge gas admitted) is a warm-up step for increasing a temperature of the portion (62 or 70 or space near 62 or 70) of the case (20) in which the ice is condensed (column 5, lines 64-65) to a melting point of the ice or higher to melt the ice (column 6,

Art Unit: 3744

lines 5-11).

In regard to claim 4, Bartlett teaches that the temperature increasing step (heater 69 on or purge gas admitted - column 5, lines 5-7, 25-27) is performed by temperature increase by purge in which a purge gas (column 5, line 29) having a higher temperature than the melting point of the ice is made to flow in the case (20) to return a pressure in the case that is kept at vacuum to an atmospheric pressure (column 6, line 9) and improve thermal conductivity with the outside of the case (column 5, lines 5-8, 25-30) and by temperature increase by a heater (heater 69).

In regard to claim 5, Bartlett teaches that in the vaporizing step, water is vaporized by performing rough evacuation (column 5, lines 44-48, column 6, lines 5-10) to reduce a pressure of the portion (62 or 70 or space near 62 or 70) in which the water generated from melting of the ice by the temperature increasing step (heater on or purge gas admitted) is accumulated within a range in which the temperature and the pressure of the portion are prevented from reaching a freezing point of the water (column 5, line 65 - column 6, line 4), a buildup determination for determining pressure increase by discharged moisture or a gas when the evacuation is stopped is performed (pressure is detected), and the water vaporization (rough pumping) and the buildup determination (pressure detection) are repeated until the water vanishes away (until pump is emptied - column 6, lines 5-14).

In regard to claim 7, Bartlett teaches that the discharging step (pump down below 1000 microns) is an evacuation step (column 6, lines 15-21) for discharging the water vapor by further reducing the pressure by the rough evacuation at a time when the water is vaporized (all liquid is vaporized) by the vaporizing step (rough pumping),

Application/Control Number: 10/580,688 Page 5

Art Unit: 3744

performing a buildup determination to determine the pressure increase by a gas when the evacuation is stopped (pressure detection), and repeating the discharge of the water vapor (pumping at pressures below 1000 microns) and the buildup determination (pressure detection) until the pressure increase is smaller than a value (500 microns) used for the determination (column 6, lines 15-20).

In regard to claim 8, Bartlett teaches that the temperature increasing step (heater 69 on or purge gas admitted) is switched to the vaporizing step at a time when a temperature of the portion (62 or 70 or space near 62 or 70) of the case (20) in which the ice is condensed reaches the melting point of the ice (interpreted as a time when ice has changed to pooled liquid - column 4, line 54 and column 6, line 10).

In regard to claim 9, Bartlett teaches that the vaporizing step (heater on and purge gas admitted) is switched to the evacuation step (pumping below 1000 microns) based on the buildup determination (pressure detection) using the discharged moisture or gas when the evacuation is stopped (column 6, lines 15-20 when vaporization is complete).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 01/21/2009 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection as set forth above where each an every limitation is discussed in detail. It is further noted that the new grounds of rejection is based on a new interpretation of Bartlett in view of broadest reasonable interpretation of the newly amended limitations and the full scope of the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/580,688 Page 6

Art Unit: 3744

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to /John Pettitt/ whose telephone number is 571-272-0771. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8a-4p.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler or Frantz Jules can be reached on 571-272-4834 or 571-272-6681. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/John F Pettitt / Examiner, Art Unit 3744

/Cheryl J. Tyler/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3744